

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offico Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,594	11/01/1999	JEFFERY J. WHEELER	16303-002430	8936
75	590 05/16/2002			
WILLIAM B KEZER TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			EPPS, JANET L	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 941113834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	7_
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002	T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/431,594	WHEELER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Office Action Summary		1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Janet Epps appears on the cover sheet wit				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty frod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON replace ages the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on :	22 February 2002 .				
	This action is non-final.				
22/20 This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42 and 44-75</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42 and 44-75</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.				
O\☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 November 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languared 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ne provisional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)	A) [] Intentio	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Hice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 09/431,594

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Applicants have not responded to the objection to the specification set forth in the prior Office Action regarding the requirement for Applicants to update the status of all applications to which priority is claimed.

Drawings

3. Applicants have not responded to the objections to the Drawings set forth in the PTO-948 attached to the Official Action mailed 8-09-2001. Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

Response to Arguments

4. Claims 42, and 44-75 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Choi et al.

Applicant's arguments filed 2-22-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the instant rejection on the grounds that the prior art reference does not disclose each and every aspect of the claimed invention as amended. In particular, Applicants argue that the Choi et al. reference does not teach wherein the nucleic acid in the particles of instant invention is resistant in aqueous solution to degradation with a nuclease. However, contrary to Applicant's assertions, because the prior art compounds meet the structural limitations of the claimed compounds, the prior art compounds are presumed to have the same functional properties as Applicant's claimed compounds. Applicants have not provided any

Application/Control Number: 09/431,594

Art Unit: 1635

evidence of unexpected results that would suggest that the particles synthesized by the methods taught in the specification are unobviously distinct from the liposomal compounds of Choi et al. Applicants further argue that the particles of the present invention are construed in a way such that upon removal of a solubilizing component (*i.e.* detergent or an organic solvent), the nucleic acid becomes protected from degradation. However, it is noted that the instant claims are drawn to a product, and not to a method for the synthesis of the claimed product. Additionally, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

See also MPEP § 2112 which states that "[w]here the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established." In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). In the instant case Applicants have not provided any evidence that the claimed that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Moreover, "[p]roducts of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Application/Control Number: 09/431,594

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet L Epps, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-8883. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, Thurs-Friday 8:30AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703)-308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-746-5143 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Janet L Epps, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1635

JLE May 13, 2002

> SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

1635